

### Assignment 7

We fix throughout a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  on which we are given a filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ , unless otherwise stated.

#### A large financial market

We take here as a probability space  $\Omega := [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  the Borel- $\sigma$ -algebra on  $[0, 1]$ , and as probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ . We consider then a financial market with time-horizon 1, and with countably many risky assets with (discounted) prices  $(S^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which are given for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$S_t^n := 0, \quad t \in [0, 1), \quad S_1^n(x) := \begin{cases} -x^{-1/2}, & \text{if } x \in [0, \varepsilon_n), \\ (1-x)^{-\frac{1}{n+1}}, & \text{if } x \in [\varepsilon_n, 1], \end{cases}$$

where the sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  takes values in  $(0, 1)$ , and converges to 0 as  $n$  goes to  $+\infty$ . We take for  $\mathbb{F}$  the natural filtration generated by  $(S^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

- 1) Show that it is possible to choose the sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[S_1^n] = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- 2) We now want to prove that  $\mathbb{P}$  is a separating measure for this market. Show that it is enough for this to prove that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any sequence  $(c_k)_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}}$  such that  $\sum_{k=0}^n c_k S_1^k$  is bounded from below, we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n c_k S_1^k \right] \leq 0,$$

and deduce that  $\mathbb{P}$  is indeed a separating measure.

- 3) Prove that there cannot exist an equivalent  $\sigma$ -martingale measure on this market, and comment.

#### On separating measures

Consider a financial market where discounted prices are given by  $S := (S^1, \dots, S^d)_{t \in [0, T]}^{\top}$  which is a  $d$ -dimensional  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -semi-martingale and let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be a measure equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$

- 1) Assume that  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is trivial and that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a separating measure for  $S$ . Show that if  $S$  is  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -locally bounded, then  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an equivalent local martingale measure for  $S$ .
- 2) Assume that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an equivalent  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q})$ - $\sigma$ -martingale measure for  $S$ . Show that it is also an equivalent separating measure.
- 3) Now assume that  $d = 1$ , that  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is the natural ( $\mathbb{P}$ -completed) filtration of  $S$  and that the process  $S = (S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is of the form

$$S_t = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq t < T, \\ X, & \text{if } t = T, \end{cases}$$

where  $X$  is normally distributed with mean  $\mu \neq 0$  and variance  $\sigma^2 > 0$  under  $\mathbb{P}$ . Show that in this case, the class  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{sep}}(S, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$  of equivalent separating measures for  $S$  is strictly bigger than  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma}(S, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ .

## Stop-loss start-gain strategy

Let the financial market on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $T < \infty$ , be described by a reference asset  $S^0 = 1$  and one risky asset  $S$  being a geometric Brownian motion, i.e.

$$dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t), \quad S_0 = s_0 > 0, \quad (0.1)$$

for some given constants  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ .

Fix  $K > 0$ . We start with one share if  $S_0 > K$  and with no share if  $S_0 \leq K$ . Whenever the stock price falls below  $K$  (or equals  $K$ ), the share is sold, and whenever the price returns to a level strictly above  $K$ , one share is bought again. Thus, the amount held in the reference asset is given by  $\delta_t = -K \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t > K\}}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , and the amount held in the risky asset is given by  $\Delta_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t > K\}}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ .

- 1) Verify that the geometric Brownian motion  $S$  satisfying (0.1) has the expression

$$S_t = s_0 \exp(\sigma W_t + (\mu - \sigma^2/2)t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

- 2) Show that for each  $t \in (0, T]$ , it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}[S_t > K] > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}[S_t < K] > 0.$$

- 3) Let  $L^K(S)$  be the local time of  $S$  at  $K$  defined as in the lecture notes. Show that  $\mathbb{P}[L_t^K(S) > 0] > 0$  holds for all  $t \in (0, T]$ .

*Hint:* Recall that by Girsanov's theorem, there exists a measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  which is equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$  and such that  $(S_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q})$ -martingale. You can take the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -expectation of  $(S_t - K)^+$  and apply Jensen's inequality to get the desired result. Tanaka's formula will be very helpful. You may also use the fact that if  $S$  is a continuous martingale and  $H$  is a bounded  $\mathbb{F}$ -predictable process, then the stochastic integral  $\int_0^\cdot H dS$  is also a continuous martingale.

- 4) Conclude that the so-called *stop-loss start-gain strategy*  $(\delta, \Delta)$  defined above is not a self-financing strategy.